

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/627,824	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GRABARNIK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Abdou Karim Seye	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Abdou Karim Seye.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert W Griffith.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*claim 3*

Prior art documents discussed:

#### Part II.

##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner discussed with the applicant to amend claim 3 to correct the dependency on the claim.*

#### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM THOMSON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)